Notice of Allowability	pplication No.	Applicant(s)
	0/043,712	TRYON ET AL.
	xaminer	Art Unit
	ary Kate B. Baran	2857
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OF herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGH of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 an	REMAINS) CLOSED in this apported in this apported in this apported in this application is subject to the transmitter in the tra	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course, THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to 28 February 2005.		
2. 🔀 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-83</u> .		
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> are accepted by the E	Examiner.	
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of the noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENTHIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reach including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Alpaper No./Mail Date [dentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the lattached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR	een received. een received in Application No nents have been received in this his communication to file a reply IT of this application. d. Note the attached EXAMINER eason(s) why the oath or declarate e submitted. Is Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mendment / Comment or in the Co c)) should be written on the drawin header according to 37 CFR 1.121(co of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL re	national stage application from the complying with the requirements 'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF stion is deficient. 948) attached Office action of the back) of d). must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendr	te

PATRICK ASSOUAD PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to the Amendments filed 28 February 2005. Claims 1-83 are pending. Claims 1, 5, 7-9, 14, 15, 18, 21, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 34, 35, 38, 41, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, 54, 56, 57, 60, 63, 64 and 66 have been amended. Claims 77-83 are new.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-83 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 1-4, 6-23, 25, 26, 29-46, 48, 49, 51-53, 55-67 and 79 are allowable over the prior art because a computer implemented method for predicting failure in a system, the method comprising: calculating a prediction indicative of a potential failure of said system using a pre-selected probabilistic model and said received data the probabilistic model selected to calculate said prediction based on at least the specific load, wherein the probabilistic model utilizes at least one of fast probability methods and simulation techniques is not found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record.

Claims 5 and 77 are allowable over the prior art because a computer implemented method for predicting failure in a system, the method comprising: calculating a prediction indicative of a potential failure of said system using a preselected probabilistic model and said received data, the probabilistic model selected to

calculate said prediction based on at least the specific load, wherein the data indicative of a system response to a specific load comprises a bend angle is not found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record.

Claims 24, 47, 54, 78 and 81 are allowable over the prior art because a computer implemented method for predicting failure in a system, the method comprising: calculating a prediction indicative of a potential failure of said system using a preselected probabilistic model and said received data, the probabilistic model selected to calculate said prediction based on at least the specified load, wherein the probabilistic model is selected based on at least one failure mechanism including a failure mechanism described by an equation having at least a capacity section and a demand section is not found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record.

Claims 27, 28, 50, 80, 82 and 83 are allowable over the prior art because an apparatus for monitoring a system, said apparatus comprising: instructions for determining a probable response of said at least one component of said system to the one or more external parameters at the current time, and further using said acquired data, wherein said instructions for determining a probable response of said at least one component of said system to the one or more external parameters at the current time comprises instructions for performing finite element analysis using at least a component configuration and data indicative of the one or more external parameters at the current time is not found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record.

Claims 68-76 are allowable over the prior art of record because a method for predicting failure in a system, wherein during system operation, ascertaining a

Art Unit: 2857

probability of failure for each of a plurality of pre-determined failure mechanisms using a physics based first probabilistic failure model, wherein said probability of failure for each of said failure mechanisms is based at least partially on said received data and said pre-determined failure mechanisms is not found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Kate B. Baran whose telephone number is (571) 272-2211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,712

Art Unit: 2857

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

26 April 2005

PATRICK ASSOUAD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5